UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Indiana

United States of America)	
V. Antonio Miles (aka Tonio)) Case No: 3:08CR00013-004	
Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)	0/30/2008	USM No: 08673-028) None Defendant's Attorney	
		N FOR SENTENCE REDUC	TION
PURSU	ANT TO 1	8 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imsubsequently been lowered and made retroac § 994(u), and having considered such motion and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.	mprisonment in tive by the Un n, and taking ir	ted States Sentencing Commission pure to account the policy statement set forth	range that has suant to 28 U.S.C.
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the last judgment issued) of		previously imposed sentence of impris	onment (as reflected in
(Complete	Parts I and II of	Page 2 when motion is granted)	
A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY Laura A. Briggs, Clerk U.S. District Court Southern District of Indiana By Deputy Clerk			
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date: 04/17/2012	of the judgme	t datedshall rem	nain in effect.
Order Date: 04/17/2012		Judge's signature	$\overline{\hspace{1cm}}$
Effective Date: *		The Honorable Richard L.	/oung
(if different from order date)		Printed name and title	

^{*} Unless otherwise indicated, the effective date of this order shall be ten (10) days after order date.

Addendum to Order Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)
Cause No. <u>3:08CR00013-004</u>
Defendant Antonio Miles (aka Tonio)
As directed by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), the Court has considered the relevant factors in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and determined a sentence reduction is not appropriate for the following reason(s):
1) The defendant is not eligible for a reduction because the amendments listed in subsection (c) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 are not applicable to the defendant.
3) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment, but the Court has determined such a reduction is not appropriate because of the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that may be posed by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
4) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment. However, the Court has determined the post-sentencing conduct demonstrates the defendant may pose a danger to any person or the community by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
The defendant received the mandatory minimum sentence authorized by statute.